UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PATRICIA GONZALEZ and JENNIFER GONZALEZ, individually and as coadministrators of the Estate of KENNY LAZO,

Plaintiffs,

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED VERDICT SHEET

-against-

09-cv-1023 (ST)

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, SUFFOLK POLICE DEPARTMENT, POLICE COMMISSIONER RICHARD DORMER, in his individual and official capacity, POLICE OFFICER JOHN NEWTON, in his individual and official capacity, POLICE OFFICER JAMES SCIMONE, in his individual and official capacity, POLICE OFFICER WILLIAM JUDGE, in his individual and official capacity, POLICE OFFICER CHRISTOPHER TALT, in his individual and official capacity, POLICE OFFICER LINK,, in his individual and official capacity, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK OFFICE OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY, SUFFOLK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY THOMAS SPOTA, in his individual and official capacity, ASST. DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOHN B. COLLINS, in his individual and official capacity, and "JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-10" representing as yet unknown and unidentified members of the Office of the Suffolk County District Attorney (all in their individual and official capacities as employees of the Office of Suffolk County District Attorney),

Defendants.

Pursuant to Rule 49 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Defendants submit the following Proposed Verdict Sheet in connection with the trial of this action. The Defendants reserve their right to submit an amended Proposed Verdict Sheet based upon the progress of the

remainder of the trial. In addition, the Defendants respectfully advise the Court at this time of their intention to submit interrogatories to the jury relating to qualified immunity, as necessary.

LIABILITY AND DAMAGES

Count 1: False Arrest

1. Did any or all of the Defendants show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had probable cause to arrest Kenny Lazo for harassment?

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes" for any or all of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) are not liable for the false arrest claim. Please proceed to Count 2 for those Defendants and skip the remainder of this Count for those Defendants. Otherwise, please proceed to Question 2.

2. Did the Plaintiffs show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any or all of the Defendants committed a false arrest of Kenny Lazo that was a proximate cause of injuries to Kenny Lazo? Please only answer with regard to the Defendant(s) with respect to whom you answered "No" in Question 1 of this Count.

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 2 is "Yes" for any or all of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) are liable for the false arrest claim; please proceed to Count 2. If the answer to Question 2 is "No" for any or all of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) are not liable for the false arrest claim; please proceed to Count 2.

Count 2: Excessive Force

1. Did the Plaintiffs show,	by a preponderance	of the evidence,	that any of the	e Defendants
used excessive force against Kenn	y Lazo?			

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 1 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found those Defendants not liable for the excessive force claim; please proceed to Count 4 for those Defendants and skip the remainder of this Count for those Defendants. Otherwise, please proceed to Question 2.

2. Did the Plaintiffs show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the Defendants used excessive force against Kenny Lazo? Please only answer with regard to the Defendant(s) with respect to whom you answered "Yes" in Question 1 of this Count.

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 2 is "Yes" for any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) is/are liable for the excessive force claim; please proceed to Count 3. If the answer

to Question 2 is "No" for all of the Defendants, you have found that those Defendants are not liable for the excessive force claim; please proceed to Count 4.

Count 3: Failure to Intervene

1. Did the Plaintiffs show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the Defendants observed excessive force against Kenny Lazo and knew it was unlawful?

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 1 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found those Defendants not liable for the failure to intervene claim; please proceed to Count 5 for those Defendants and skip the remainder of this Count for those Defendants. Otherwise, please proceed to Question 2.

2. Did the Plaintiffs show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the Defendants
had a realistic opportunity to intervene, having sufficient time to intercede and a capability to
prevent the harm? Please only answer with regard to the Defendant(s) with respect to whom you
answered "Yes" in Question 1 of this Count.

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 2 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found those Defendants not liable for the failure to intervene claim; please proceed to Count 5 for those Defendants and skip the remainder of this Count for those Defendants. Otherwise, please proceed to Question 3.

3. Did the Plaintiffs show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the Defendants failed to take reasonable steps to prevent the violations of Kenny Lazo's constitutional rights? Please only answer with regard to the Defendant(s) with respect to whom you answered "Yes" in Question 2 of this Count.

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 3 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found those Defendants not liable for the failure to intervene claim; please proceed to Count 5 for those Defendants and skip the remainder of this Count for those Defendants. Otherwise, you have found those Defendants liable for the failure to intervene claim. Please proceed to Count 5.

Count 4: Municipal Liability

Count 5.

You will only consider this claim against the County of Suffolk if you have found in favor of the Plaintiffs on at least one of the claims of excessive force or failure to intervene in violation of Kenny Lazo's federal constitutional rights. If you have not, please proceed to Count 5. Otherwise, proceed to Question 1 below.

1. Did the Plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, a violation of Kenny

Lazo's rights pursuant to a long-standing custom or practice of the County of Suffolk?
YES NO
If the answer to Question 1 is "No," you have found that the County of Suffolk is not
subject to municipal liability; please proceed to Count 5. Otherwise, please proceed to Question
2 of this Count.
2. Did the Plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the policy makers of
the County of Suffolk either approved or were deliberately indifferent to the custom or practice?
YES NO
If the answer to Question 2 is "No," you have found that the County of Suffolk is not
subject to municipal liability; please proceed to Count 5. If the answer to Question 2 is "Yes,"
you have found that the County of Suffolk is subject to municipal liability; please proceed to

Count 5: Wrongful Death

-	1. Did the	Plaintiffs prove	e, by a prep	onderance	of the evic	lence, tha	t any I	Defendant
caused t	the wrong	ful death of Ke	nny Lazo?					

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 1 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) not liable for the wrongful death claim; please proceed to Count 6. If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) liable for the wrongful death claim; please proceed to Count 6.

Count 6: Battery

1. Did the Plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any Defendant exercised unreasonable, excessive force in the commission of their public duty, given the totality of the circumstances, subjecting Kenny Lazo to a Battery?

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 1 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) not liable for the battery claim; please proceed to Count 7. If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) liable for the battery claim; please proceed to Count 7.

Count 7: Negligence

1. Did the Plaintiffs prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any Defendant was negligent?

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

If the answer to Question 1 is "No" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) not liable for the battery claim; please proceed to Damages. If the answer to Question 1 is "Yes" with respect to any of the Defendants, you have found that/those Defendant(s) liable for the battery claim; please proceed to Damages.

<u>Damages</u>

Nominal Damages: Fill out only if you answered "Yes" to any of the following: Count 1, Question 2; Count 2, Question 2; Count 3, Question 3; Count 4, Question 2; Count 5, Question 1; Count 6, Question 1; or Count 7, Question 1.

Please indicate whether you determine that Plaintiffs are entitled to nominal damages of \$1 as to each Defendant's liability. Do not award nominal damages if you will be awarding compensatory damages as to that Defendant's liability.

JOHN NEWTON	YES	NO
JAMES SCIMONE	YES	NO
WILLIAM JUDGE	YES	NO
CHRISTOPHER TALT	YES	NO
JOSEPH LINK	YES	NO

Compensatory Damages: Fill out only if you answered "Yes" to any of the following: Count 1, Question 2; Count 2, Question 2; Count 3, Question 3; Count 4, Question 2; Count 5, Question 1; Count 6, Question 1; or Count 7, Question 1.

1. What amount do you find is fair and reasonable to compensate Plaintiffs for GENERAL damages (*e.g.* loss of time, humiliation, and mental suffering)?

\$ _____

2. What amount do you find is fair and reasonable to compensate Plaintiffs for SPECIAL damages (*e.g.* physical discomfort or injury to health)?

\$ _____

Punitive Damages: Fill out only if you answered "Yes" to any of the following: Count 1
Question 2; Count 2, Question 2; Count 3, Question 3; Count 5, Question 1; or Count 6,
Question 1.
What amount do you find is fair and reasonable to award Plaintiffs in punitive damages?
\$
You have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should date and sign below. Then you
should advise the Court that you have completed your deliberations.
Dated: Central Islip, New York August, 2023 Signed: Foreperson